

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,151	08/27/2003	Gregory Frank Carlson	10030414-1	7046
7:	590 06/07/2005		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG	
Legal Departme	ent, DL429			
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			1775	
	00537 0500			

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/650,151	CARLSON ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Cathy Lam	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attach mand (-)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	مراد المراد ا	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 1975		O-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/650,151

Art Unit: 1775

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a circuit sheet, classified in class 349, subclass 150+.

Page 2

- II. Claims 14-21, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 96+.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a different process such as photo-lithographically patterning the substrate, then using catalyst onto the surface of the wells or ridges, then slurry coating the wells and/or ridges with a conductive polymeric material. The process as claimed can be used to make a different product such as a decalcomania.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Atty: Pamela Kee on June 2, 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,151 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 4 and 9, it is vague and indefinite as to what kind of "treatment" is referring to? Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Verlinden et al (US 6861136).

Verlinden discloses a flexible laminate comprised of a flexible support (1) and a thin glass layer. The thin glass layer is comprised of a plurality of glass sheets (2) which are separated by a space (3) (Fig. 1).

The examiner takes the position that the thin glass layer laminated onto the flexible support resembles the substrate of the present invention, and the space (3) forms grooves between the glass sheets are the wells and ridges claimed by the applicant.

Regarding to the conductive polymer that forms circuit devices, the examiner is taking the position that applicant has not positively recited the conductive polymer in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobsen et al (US 6468638) in view of Verlinden (US 6861136).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,151

Art Unit: 1775

Jacobsen disclose an electronic assembly comprised of a substrate (12) and a plurality of blocks (14).

The blocks are formed onto the substrate. The blocks may each contain a circuit component (col 2 L 11-16, L 45-47 & col 5 L 23-24).

The blocks (14) are deposited onto the substrate (12) through FSA process that is a fluid transporting process such that the blocks rest in the corresponding openings in the substrate (12) (col 2 L 55-60 & col 6 L 48-49). The examiner takes the position that the FSA process resembles a treatment that limit the sizes of the blocks.

An interconnecting layer is deposited over the substrate and the blocks to make electrical connection between the blocks. The interconnecting layer can be a wiring pattern (col 6 L 63-64). The blocks that include circuit elements drive a picture element (or a display) (col 6 L 48-51).

Jacobsen teaches the structure of the present invention but does not explicitly state the blocks are made from a conductive polymer material.

Jacobsen however teaches that the interconnecting layer which is used for connecting the blocks, is a conductive polymer or a conductive organic compound (col 6 L 55-58).

Verlinden teaches a laminate structure having wells and/or ridges formed on a surface. The wells or ridges are filled with an organic conductive polymer such as poly(p-phenylenevinylene) (col 8 L 6-10).

In view of the prior art teachings, one skill in the art would choose poly(pphenylenevinylene) because PPV is a commonly picked electroluminescent material (col 8 L 22-30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-1538. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1775

June 03, 2005